



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,922	646,922 08/25/2003		Masami Makino	031057	1951
23850	7590	11/10/2005		EXAMINER	
		ATZ, QUINTOS,	SAMS, MATTHEW C		
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20006			2643	
				DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
·							
Office Action Summary	10/646,922	MAKINO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication con	Matthew C. Sams	2643					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ac	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 August 2003</u> .						
· <u> </u>	, —						
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-4 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Antila et al. (US-6,583,770 hereafter, Antila) in view of Clark et al. (US-6,321,070 hereafter, Clark).

Regarding claim 1, Antila teaches a foldable electronic device (Fig. 5a & 5b [200]) comprising a main body (Fig. 5a & 5b [200]) with a closure connected to each other openably (Fig. 5b [190]), a main display (Fig. 5b [150]) exposed on the inner side of the closure (Fig. 5b) and a subdisplay (Fig. 5a [110]) having a screen exposed from the back surface of the closure (Fig. 3 & Fig. 5a). Antila teaches a support frame that is part of the housing (Fig. 3 [17] and Col. 5 lines 62-63) holding the main display (Fig. 3 [D2]) and the subdisplay (Fig. 3 [D1]) oriented mounted back to back. (Fig. 3) Antila teaches a chip mount area

arranged in a staggered relationship to each other. (Fig. 2 [Dr' & Dr'']) Antila differs from the claimed invention by not mentioning a chip mount area arranged in a staggered relationship to each other or that the displays are mounted with flexible leads. However, Clark teaches a display arrangement (Fig. 3 [320]) for a mobile device that is mounted with a flexible lead (Figs. 3 & 4 [336]) and a chip mount area. (Fig. 3 [320], Col. 4 lines 42-50 and Col. 5 line 59 though Col. 6 line 2) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the chip mount area and flexible leads of Clark into the foldable electronic device of Antila. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to do this since mounting chips in staggered positions helps with heat distribution, enables the design of a thinner housing profile by not having chips mounted in a double stack fashion and having a flexible connector makes constructing the connection between the two-foldable halves through the hinge easier to manufacture.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2643

US-6,321,070 to Clark et al. regarding a folding portable electronic device with an LCD

US-6,925,313 to Kweon et al. regarding a folding mobile communication terminal with a double sided LCD

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew C. Sams whose telephone number is (571)272-8099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571)272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATEUT EMANAINER
TECHNOLOGY CLAVER 2000

MCS 11/3/2005